UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,071	01/19/2006	CaoMinh Ta	Q90672	6700	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/07/200 ON, PLLC	8	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MCCLOUD, RENATA D		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,071	TA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RENATA MCCLOUD	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0/2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. niner. accepted or b) □ objected to be		
Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corulation. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/05.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/552,071 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 7 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kokami et al (US 6900604.
- 4. Claim1. A motor drive control apparatus comprising a voltage detecting section for detecting phase voltage or line voltage of a brushless DC motor having three or more phases, a current detecting section for detecting motor current, and a rotor position estimating section for calculating electrical angle of the rotor of the motor, wherein the rotor position estimating Section comprising: a back-EMF detecting section for each phase for calculating a back-EMF of each phase of the motor from the phase voltage or line voltage, the motor current, the winding resistance value and winding inductance value, of the motor (col. 4:19-32),an angular speed calculating section which detects a back-EMF which becomes a maximum value in the back-EMF of each phase, and which calculates angular speed of a rotor of the motor (col. 4:56-67).

Art Unit: 2837

and an electrical angle calculating section for calculating electrical angle of the rotor from the angular speed (col. 12:5-45).

Claim 2:further comprising a rotor position detecting sensor for detecting electrical angles of the rotor of the motor in a discrete manner, wherein the angle electrical calculating section corrects the calculated electrical angle by the detected electrical angles (col.13:3-10).

Claim 6: a low pass filter (23; col. 6:1-9)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3,4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokami et al in view of Acarnley (US 6005364)

Claim 3. Kokami et al teach the drive control apparatus according to claim 1 or 2,.

Referring to claim 3, they do not teach wherein the rotor position estimating section comprises an error resistance calculating section which calculates a resistance change amount caused by temperature change of the winding resistance based on an error between the calculated electrical angle and the detected electrical angles. Acarnley teaches an error resistance calculating section which calculates a resistance change amount caused by temperature change of the winding resistance based on an error between the calculated electrical angle and the detected electrical angles (col. 9:20-35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Kokami et al to calculate error as taught by Acarnley in order to accurately determine position.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,071 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

7. Claim 3,4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokami et al in

view of Acarnley (US 6005364) as applied to claim 3 and further in view of Koide et al (US

6188196)

Claim 4: Kokami et al and Acarnley teach the limitations of claim 3. Referring to claim 4,

they do not teach further comprising a changed temperature calculating section for calculating a

temperature change amount of the winding based on the resistance change amount. Koide et al

teach calculating a temperature change amount of the winding based on the resistance change

amount (col. 21:40-22:30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Kokami et and Acarnley to calculate

temperature as taught by Koide et al in order to accurately determine position.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RENATA MCCLOUD whose telephone number is (571)272-2069. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. from 5:30 am - 2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 37. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,071 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renata McCloud Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

/R. M./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837